

1 Title: Vowel-coda interaction in spontaneous Japanese utterances

2 Author: Shigeto Kawahara

3 Affiliation:

4 The Institute of Cultural and Linguistic Studies

5 Keio University

6 2-15-45 Mita, Minato-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN

7 Corresponding email: kawahara@iccl.keio.ac.jp

8 **Abstract**

9 Japanese is often considered to be mora-timed, and the existence of syllables is sometimes questioned.
10 Evidence for syllables comes from interactions between a vowel and the following consonant. Previous ex-
11 periments show that vowels get longer before coda consonants. Using the Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese,
12 this study explores to what degree vowels lengthen before geminates and coda nasals. The results demon-
13 strate that (i) vowels do lengthen before geminates and coda nasals, (ii) this lengthening occurs for all types
14 of vowels, (iii) but degrees of lengthening differ by vowel, and (iv) vowels lengthen more before nasals than
15 before geminates.

16

17 **Keywords:** Japanese, vowels, the CSJ, syllables, duration, lengthening

18

19 **PACS number:** 43.70.+i, 43.70.Bk, 43.70.Fq

1 Introduction

Since Trubetzkoy (1939/1969), Japanese has been classified as a “mora-timed” language, and the role of syllables in the language has been questioned from time to time. Labrune (2012*a,b*) offers the most recent and explicit version of this proposal, arguing that Japanese has no syllables at all. One basis of this claim comes from the (putative) “[a]bsence of phonetic clues for the existence of a rhyme-like constituent” (Labrune, 2012*b*, p. 120), implying that vowels and coda consonants do not seem to interact in Japanese, either phonetically or phonologically. Kawahara (2016) argues, based on previous phonetic studies, that this claim is empirically false, as vowels and coda consonants do interact phonetically (see also Ito and Mester 2015; Kubozono 2003; Tanaka 2013; Vance 2013 for more counterarguments). For example, many production studies have shown that vowels are longer before geminates than before singletons (Fukui, 1978; Han, 1994; Hirata, 2007; Idemaru and Guion, 2008; Kawahara, 2006, 2013; Kawahara and Braver, 2014; Port et al., 1987). In Idemaru and Guion (2008)[p. 176], arguably the most extensive phonetic study on the acoustic correlates of geminates in Japanese, vowel intervals were on average 75 ms before geminates, whereas they were 59 ms before singletons. Campbell (1999)[pp. 34-35] studied a corpus of Japanese speakers reading 503 phrases and sentences in Japanese newspapers and magazines, and showed that vowels are longer before a coda nasal than they are in open syllables: the median vowel durations were 90 ms for open syllables and 110 ms when closed by a coda nasal.

This paper reexamines these observations in further detail, given that a large corpus of spontaneous speech is now available (as we will see, the corpus contains more than 300,000 tokens of vowels: Kawahara and Shaw 2017). The previous studies were often limited in several aspects; no studies, for example, examined the interaction between vowels and coda consonants for each vowel type separately, often studying only a subset of the full list of Japanese vowels. Kawahara (2006) for example studied only non-high vowels, and collapsed their results; Idemaru and Guion (2008) studied only /e/. Moreover, most studies, except for Campbell (1999), are based on lab speech rather than spontaneous speech. (It is not meant to imply here that lab speech is unreliable for phonetic analyses, but it is interesting and important to examine natural speech as well.) The corpus that the current study deploys, the Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (the CSJ: Maekawa 2003), is a large corpus of spoken Japanese, which allows us to overcome these limitations, thereby shedding new light on the vowel-coda interaction in spoken Japanese.

48 A brief background discussion of the syllable structure in Japanese is in order. Japanese has five vowels,
49 /a/, /e/, /o/, /i/, and /u/. The coda consonants are limited to either nasal consonants (represented traditionally
50 as /N/) or the first part of obstruent geminates (represented as /Q/). Japanese has a short vs. long contrast
51 in vowels (Hirata, 2004; Hirata and Tsukada, 2009), but long vowels rarely occur before a coda consonant
52 (Kubozono, 2003; Kubozono et al., 2008). This study examines the duration of each of the five vowels in
53 open syllables as well as in syllables closed with /N/ and /Q/. Japanese is a pitch accent language, whose
54 effects on duration are minimum (Beckman, 1986; Homma, 1981), and hence not taken into account in this
55 study. See Vance (1987, 2008) for further details on the basic sound patterns of Japanese.

56 **2 Method**

57 The analysis is based on the Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (the CSJ: Maekawa et al. 2000; Maekawa
58 2003, 2015), one of the largest annotated speech corpora of Japanese. The CSJ contains several speech
59 styles, including, but not limited to, Academic Presentation Style (APS) and Spontaneous Presentation Style
60 (SPS). The former is based on real academic presentations, whereas the latter is based on solicited mono-
61 logue, in which speakers were given a few topics as prompts. The gender in the corpus is more or less
62 balanced, although there are slightly more male speakers than female speakers. The current analysis used
63 the core portion of the corpus (known as the CSJ-RDB), which comes with rich annotation and phonetic
64 duration information. The CSJ-RDB consists of 11,559 unique words which were produced by 70 speak-
65 ers. The CSJ contains a hand-coded annotation tier, in which duration of each sound is specified. See
66 http://pj.ninjal.ac.jp/corpus_center/csj/en/ for further details of this corpus and the
67 CSJ-RDB.

68 For the current study, duration of each vowel interval was extracted from the CSJ-RDB text file, and
69 sorted by their syllabic environments, based on the annotations provided by the CSJ, which uses the tra-
70 ditional labels /N/ (a coda nasal) and /Q/ (a obstruent geminate) to mark coda consonants. Long vowels
71 were excluded from this analysis, as long vowels rarely, if ever, occur in closed syllables (Kubozono, 2003;
72 Kubozono et al., 2008). Within each vowel quality in the three separate environments, outliers—those that
73 were more than 2 standard deviations away from the mean—were excluded.

74 3 Results

75 The boxplot in Figure 1 (provided at the end of the paper) shows the durational properties of each of the
 76 five vowels in three different syllabic environments; open syllable, before an obstruent geminate (=Q/), and
 77 before a coda nasal (=N/). Table 1 shows medians, means, and standard deviations around the means. Stan-
 78 dard deviations are generally large, even after excluding outliers. Figure 1 also shows that the distributions
 79 are right-skewed, having outliers at the top; therefore medians should be more reliable measures than means
 80 for the current analysis.

Table 1: Duration of the five Japanese vowels in the three syllabic environments. Median, mean (SD) values (ms), and the number of relevant tokens.

	Open syll.			Pre-/Q/			Pre-/N/		
	median	mean (SD)	n	median	mean (SD)	n	median	mean (SD)	n
/a/	72.2	76.0 (28.0)	95,532	73.9	74.9 (22.3)	2,859	83.9	85.5 (24.6)	5,770
/e/	63.3	72.9 (38.4)	50,431	71.1	74.4 (24.4)	787	81.4	83.6 (26.4)	4,002
/o/	60.6	65.5 (28.2)	81,386	65.9	66.9 (20.7)	1,052	81.7	84.1 (27.3)	2,757
/i/	48.1	52.8 (23.4)	66,624	55.4	57.6 (19.4)	1,673	63.2	66.9 (25.4)	2,237
/u/	44.2	48.8 (23.3)	44,610	57.9	59.7 (22.6)	590	66.6	67.9 (24.6)	2213

81 As previous studies have shown, the median and mean duration of the five vowels follow the order of /a/
 82 > /e/ > /o/ > /i/ > /u/ in Japanese (Arai et al., 2001; Campbell, 1992, 1999; Campbell and Isard, 1991; Han,
 83 1962; Sagisaka and Tohkura, 1984; Sagisaka, 1985), and this order generally holds across the all three syl-
 84 labic environments (except for the reversal between /i/ and /u/ in closed syllables, and the reversal between
 85 /e/ and /o/ in the pre-/N/ environment). Within each vowel, vowels are generally shortest in open syllables,
 86 slightly longer before a geminate, and longest before a coda nasal. These results replicate the general length-
 87 ening effects in closed syllables identified in the previous phonetic studies (Campbell, 1999; Fukui, 1978;
 88 Han, 1994; Hirata, 2007; Idemaru and Guion, 2008; Kawahara, 2006, 2013; Kawahara and Braver, 2014;
 89 Port et al., 1987). The only reversal is /a/ in the pre-/Q/ environment in terms of mean, but not in terms of
 90 median.

91 Table 2 shows the differences, in terms of median and mean, between open syllables and the two types
 92 of closed syllables. Since the distributions are right-skewed, the statistical significance of the differences
 93 was accessed by a non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test (also known as a Mann-Whitney test). The

94 results show that lengthening before an obstruent geminate and lengthening before a coda nasal are both
 95 statistically significant at the $p < .001$ level, for all types of vowels.

Table 2: Differences in vowel durations between open syllables and the two types of closed syllables. Statistical significance was tested by a non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

	Pre-/Q/			Pre-/N/		
	median	mean	Wilcox test	median	mean	Wilcox test
/a/	1.7	-1.0	$p < .001$	11.7	9.5	$p < .001$
/e/	7.7	1.6	$p < .001$	18.0	10.8	$p < .001$
/o/	5.4	1.4	$p < .001$	21.1	18.7	$p < .001$
/i/	7.3	4.8	$p < .001$	15.1	14.1	$p < .001$
/u/	13.8	10.9	$p < .001$	22.4	19.2	$p < .001$

96 Since we observe that lengthening is more extensive before a coda nasal than before a geminate, this
 97 difference was accessed for each vowel, again with a non-parametric Wilcoxon test. The differences are
 98 significant at $p < .001$ level for all of the vowels: vowels are statistically longer before nasals than before
 99 obstruent geminates.

100 We also observe that different vowels lengthen to different degrees. Shorter vowels (e.g. /u/) tend to
 101 be affected more, and longer vowels tend to be affected less (e.g. /a/). It may be the case that there is
 102 a limit on how long a short vowel can be—given that Japanese has a short/long contrast (Hirata, 2004;
 103 Hirata and Tsukada, 2009)—so that a vowel like /a/, which is already long, cannot be lengthened too much.

104 One prominent difference between the current result and the previous studies is the magnitude of dif-
 105 ference between vowels in open syllables and vowels before obstruent geminates. As reviewed in the intro-
 106 duction, Idemaru and Guion (2008) found that vowels get longer on average by 16 ms before an obstruent
 107 geminate. Their stimuli only contained [e]; in Table 2, the differences that the current study found for /e/
 108 were 1.6 ms in terms of means and 7.7 ms in terms of medians. Kawahara (2006), like Idemaru and Guion
 109 (2008), found that pre-geminate lengthening can on average be as long as 20 ms, using the stimuli contain-
 110 ing /a/, /e/, and /o/. The largest difference that the current study found in terms of means is 1.6 ms for /e/,
 111 or 7.7 for /e/ ms in terms of medians. These comparisons indicate that pre-geminate lengthening may be
 112 exaggerated in lab speech, and is less clearly observed in spontaneous speech.

113 4 Discussion

114 The results confirm the previous findings, mostly based on lab-speech, that vowels are longer before obstru-
115 ent geminates than in open syllables. The results also confirm the results of Campbell (1999) that vowels are
116 longer before a coda nasal than in open syllables. Not only does the current study replicate these findings
117 based on a very large spoken-speech corpus, it offers several new findings. First, the data on pre-geminate
118 lengthening has mainly come from lab-read speech, and this effect was replicated in spontaneous speech.
119 Second, it demonstrates that lengthening before a geminate and lengthening before a coda nasal hold for each
120 of the five vowels in Japanese. These findings are important in supporting the role of syllables in the prosodic
121 organization of Japanese (Kawahara, 2016), as they instantiate the phonetic interaction between a vowel and
122 a coda consonant, which is not predicted by the syllable-less theory of Japanese (Labrune, 2012*a,b*).

123 Third, the current study found that lengthening before a coda nasal is more extensive than lengthening
124 before a geminate, which is a new finding that no previous studies have found. Even Campbell (1999), who
125 reported both pre-geminate and pre-nasal lengthening, did not find this systematic difference. Fourth, the
126 current study shows that vowels lengthen to different degrees; [a] seems least susceptible to closed-syllable
127 lengthening, whereas [u] is most “stretchable” (see Kawahara and Shaw 2017 for a similar observation).
128 Overall, we conclude that vowels and coda consonants in Japanese do interact phonetically, despite the
129 claim to the contrary by Labrune (2012*a,b*), although the way they interact is more complex than previously
130 thought: the degree of lengthening depends on which vowel interacts with which consonant.

131 References

- 132 Arai, T., Warner, N., and Greenberg, S. (2001), “OGI tagengo denwa onsei koopasu-ni okeru nihongo shizen
133 hatsuwa onsei no bunseki [Analysis of spontaneous Japanese in OGI multi-language telephone speech
134 corpus],” *Nihon Onkyoo Gakkai Shunki Happyoukai vol.1 [The Spring Meeting of the Acoustical Society
135 of Japan]*, pp. 361–362.
- 136 Beckman, M. (1986), *Stress and Non-Stress Accent*, Dordrecht: Foris.
- 137 Campbell, N. (1992), “Segmental elasticity and timing in Japanese,” in *Speech Perception, Production and
138 Linguistic Structure*, eds. Y. Tohkura, E. V. Vatikiotis-Bateson, and Y. Sagisaka, Tokyo: Ohmsha, pp. 403–
139 418.
- 140 Campbell, N. (1999), “A study of Japanese speech timing from the syllable perspective,” *Onsei Kenkyu
141 [Journal of the Phonetic Society of Japan]*, 3(2), 29–39.
- 142 Campbell, N., and Isard, S. (1991), “Segment durations in a syllable frame,” *Journal of Phonetics*, 19, 37–47.
- 143 Fukui, S. (1978), “Nihongo heisaon-no encho/tanshuku-niyoru sokuon/hisokuon toshite-no chooshu [Per-

- 144 ception for the Japanese stop consonants with reduced and extended durations],” *Onsei Gakkai Kaihou*
145 [*Phonetic Society Reports*], 159, 9–12.
- 146 Han, M. (1962), “The feature of duration in Japanese,” *Onsei no Kenkyuu [Studies in Phonetics]*, 10, 65–80.
- 147 Han, M. (1994), “Acoustic manifestations of mora timing in Japanese,” *Journal of the Acoustical Society of*
148 *America*, 96, 73–82.
- 149 Hirata, Y. (2004), “Effects of speaking rate on the vowel length distinction in Japanese,” *Journal of Phonet-*
150 *ics*, 32(4), 565–589.
- 151 Hirata, Y. (2007), “Durational variability and invariance in Japanese stop quantity distinction: Roles of
152 adjacent vowels,” *Onsei Kenkyu [Journal of the Phonetic Society of Japan]*, 11(1), 9–22.
- 153 Hirata, Y., and Tsukada, K. (2009), “Effects of speaking rate and vowel length on formant frequency dis-
154 placement in Japanese,” *Phonetica*, 66(3), 129–149.
- 155 Homma, Y. (1981), “Durational relationship between Japanese stops and vowels,” *Journal of Phonetics*,
156 9, 273–281.
- 157 Idemaru, K., and Guion, S. (2008), “Acoustic covariants of length contrast in Japanese stops,” *Journal of*
158 *International Phonetic Association*, 38(2), 167–186.
- 159 Ito, J., and Mester, A. (2015), “Sino-Japanese phonology,” in *The Handbook of Japanese Language and*
160 *Linguistics: Phonetics and Phonology*, ed. H. Kubozono, Berlin: Mouton, pp. 289–312.
- 161 Kawahara, S. (2006), “A faithfulness ranking projected from a perceptibility scale: The case of [+voice] in
162 Japanese,” *Language*, 82(3), 536–574.
- 163 Kawahara, S. (2013), “Emphatic gemination in Japanese mimetic words: A wug-test with auditory stimuli,”
164 *Language Sciences*, 40, 24–35.
- 165 Kawahara, S. (2016), “Japanese has syllables: A reply to Labrune (2012),” *Phonology*, 33.
- 166 Kawahara, S., and Braver, A. (2014), “Durational properties of emphatically-lengthened consonants in
167 Japanese,” *Journal of International Phonetic Association*, 44(3), 237–260.
- 168 Kawahara, S., and Shaw, J. (2017), “Effects of consonant-conditioned informativity on vowel duration in
169 Japanese,” Ms. Keio University [Revision submitted to *Language and Speech*].
- 170 Kubozono, H. (2003), “The syllable as a unit of prosodic organization in Japanese,” in *The Syllable in*
171 *Optimality Theory*, eds. C. Fery, and R. van der Vijver, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press., pp. 99–
172 122.
- 173 Kubozono, H., Ito, J., and Mester, A. (2008), “Consonant gemination in Japanese loanword phonology,” in
174 *Current Issues in Unity and Diversity of Languages. Collection of Papers Selected from the 18th Interna-*
175 *tional Congress of Linguists*, ed. The Linguistic Society of Korea, Republic of Korea: Dongam Publishing
176 Co, pp. 953–973.
- 177 Labrune, L. (2012a), *The phonology of Japanese*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 178 Labrune, L. (2012b), “Questioning the universality of the syllable: Evidence from Japanese,” *Phonology*,
179 29(1), 113–152.
- 180 Maekawa, K. (2003), “Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese: Its Design and Evaluation,” *Proceedings of ISCA*
181 *and IEEE Workshop on Spontaneous Speech Processing and Recognition (SSPR2003)*, pp. 7–12.
- 182 Maekawa, K. (2015), “Corpus-based studies,” in *The Handbook of Japanese Language and Linguistics:*
183 *Phonetics and Phonology*, ed. H. Kubozono, Berlin: Mouton, pp. 651–680.
- 184 Maekawa, K., Koiso, H., Furui, S., and Isahara, H. (2000), “Spontaneous speech corpus of Japanese,” *Pro-*
185 *ceedings of the Second International Conference of Language Resources and Evaluation*, pp. 947–952.
- 186 Port, R., Dalby, J., and O’Dell, M. (1987), “Evidence for mora timing in Japanese,” *Journal of the Acoustical*
187 *Society of America*, 81, 1574–1585.
- 188 Sagisaka, Y. (1985), *Onsei Gousei-no Tame-no Inritsu Seigyō-no Kenkyuu [A Study on Prosodic Controls*
189 *for Speech Synthesis]*, Doctoral dissertation, Waseda University.

- 190 Sagisaka, Y., and Tohkura, Y. (1984), “Kisoku-niyoru onsei goosei-no tame-no onin jikan seigyō [Phoneme
191 duration control for speech synthesis by rule],” *Denshi Tsuushin Gakkai Ronbunshi*, 67, 629–636.
- 192 Tanaka, S.-I. (2013), “Review of Labrune, Laurence (2012) *The Phonology of Japanese*, Oxford University
193 Press, 320p,” *Onsei Kenkyū [Journal of the Phonetic Society of Japan]*, 17(1), 70–80.
- 194 Trubetzkoy, N. S. (1939/1969), *Grundzüge der phonologie*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht [Trans-
195 lated by Christiane A. M. Baltaxe 1969, University of California Press].
- 196 Vance, T. (1987), *An Introduction to Japanese Phonology*, New York: SUNY Press.
- 197 Vance, T. (2008), *The Sounds of Japanese*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 198 Vance, T. (2013), “Book review: The Phonology of Japanese,” *Lingua*, 123, 168–174.

Figure 1: Boxplots showing the durations of each of the five vowels in Japanese in three syllabic environments.

